

# The Value and Stages of Data Modelling

We established that data modelling is essential for ensuring **data integrity**, **reducing redundancy (storage)**, and **improving communication** between business and technical teams.

The process follows a mandatory three-stage sequence:

| Model      | Focus             | Key Question                                            | Output & Audience                                                              |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conceptual | Business Scope    | What data is important?                                 | High-level entities and relationships (Business Users).                        |
| Logical    | Structure & Rules | How should data be organized (3NF)?                     | Detailed entities, all attributes, and primary/foreign keys (Data Architects). |
| Physical   | Implementation    | How do we build this in the chosen RDBMS (e.g., MySQL)? | Tables, specific data types, indexes, and SQL DDL (Developers/DBAs).           |

The **Entity-Relationship Diagram (ERD)** is the **visual tool** used throughout all three stages to represent entities, attributes, and relationships (like 1:M or M:N).

---

## 2. Normalization and Data Integrity (1NF to 3NF)

We demonstrated the value of normalization by analysing a single, un-normalized table:

- **1NF (First Normal Form):** The starting point, requiring atomic (single) values and a Primary Key (PK). Data in this form is highly susceptible to dangers.
- **The Dangers of 1NF (Anomalies):** Data only in 1NF suffers from **Update Anomalies** (inconsistent data due to mass repetition), **Insertion Anomalies** (cannot record master data without a transactional record), and **Deletion Anomalies** (losing critical data when a single record is removed).
- **3NF (Third Normal Form):** Achieved by separating the single table into multiple, single-purpose tables to eliminate redundancy and all transitive dependencies (non-key columns depending on other non-key columns).

---

## 3. Key Relationships and Modelling Decisions

### One-to-Many (1:M) Relationships

- This is the standard, normalized relationship (e.g., One `Branch` to Many `Staff`).
- It is enforced by placing the **Foreign Key (FK)** from the Parent table's PK into the Child table (e.g., `branch_id` FK in the `staff` table).

### Many-to-Many (M:N) Relationships

- The relationship (e.g., `Staff` to `Project`) cannot be implemented directly.
- It must be resolved by introducing a **Junction Table** (`Assignment`), transforming the M:N into two separate 1:M relationships.

### Physical Model Implementation (MySQL)

- The DDL (Data Definition Language) must be executed in the correct order: **Parent Tables** (with the PK) must be created **before** their **Child Tables** (with the FK).

- The final model used **snake\_case** for all tables and attributes (e.g., staff\_id, project\_name) and MySQL-specific data types (INT, VARCHAR, AUTO\_INCREMENT) for robustness.
- 

#### 4. Practical Design Trade-offs

We discussed advanced concepts that balance theory with real-world needs:

- **Over-Normalization:** Normalization can go too far, creating excessive joins (e.g., separating names into their own tables), which degrades **performance** and increases **complexity** beyond the benefit of saved storage.
- **1:1 Relationships:** Can be used intentionally to **partition data for security** (e.g., separating confidential `Staff_Details` into a separate table) or for performance optimization, demonstrating that **security can override normalization rules**.
- **Denormalization:** The strategic *violation* of normalization rules (e.g., adding a branch\_name column into the `staff` table) to improve read **performance** for high-traffic applications, trading integrity risk for speed.